



Shri Jasbir Singh,
Guru Nanak Nagar,
Village Bolapur, Jhabewal,
Post Office Ramgarh, Distt. Ludhiana.

--Appellant.

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o Commissioner of Police,
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o Commissioner of Police,
Ludhiana.

Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2898 of 2021

Present:- Shri Jasbir Singh, appellant is absent.
None present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

O R D E R

Shri Jasbir Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 21.12.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana. When no information was provided to him by the SPIO then he filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 01.02.2021. A reply was sent to him vide letter no.44-FA dated 23.03.2021 and the information sought by him was denied U/s 8(1)(h) of the RTI Act, 2005 being under investigation. Then the appellant filed Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 23.06.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

Both the parties are absent today.

I have gone through the RTI application dated 21.12.2020 and the appellant sought information regarding UID No. 1496325 dated 28.01.2019.

The respondent SPIO has sent an e-mail to the Commission, which is a copy of letter no.784-D dated 13.10.2021 along with copy of letter no. 44-FA dated 23.03.2021. I have also gone through the mail sent by the SPIO.

The appellant sought information regarding UID No. 1496325 dated 28.01.2019 and a period of more than two years has been passed, but the SPIO has submitted in the mail that the investigation is still pending in the concerned case. It is a matter of serious concern. The matter be put up before the Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana who should direct the inquiry officer to complete the inquiry within a time bound period and whenever the inquiry will be completed within the time bound period, then the attested copies of sought for information be sent to the appellant through registered post within 10 days and compliance be sent to the Commission.

Presently, as the investigation is pending in the concerned case so the information cannot be provided to the appellant U/s 8(1)(h) of the RTI Act, 2005. Hence, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 19.10.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Pawan Kumar,
S/o Sh. Shiv Lal, Village Rupana,
Tehsil & Distt. Sri Muktsar Sahib.

--Appellant.

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o BDPO, Lambi,
Distt. Sri Muktsar Sahib.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o DDPO,
Sri Muktsar Sahib.

Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2915 of 2021

Present:- Shri Pawan Kumar, appellant is absent.
Shri Harphool Singh, GRS, MANREGA is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o
BDPO, Lambi, Distt. Sri Muktsar Sahib.

O R D E R

Shri Pawan Kumar, appellant filed RTI application dated 04.03.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Lambi, Distt. Sri Muktsar Sahib. When no information was provided to him by the SPIO then the appellant filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 09.04.2021. A reply was sent to him vide letter no.16 dated 27.04.2021. Then the appellant filed Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 25.06.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

The appellant is absent today, whereas the respondent is present and he stated that the sought for information has been provided by hand to the appellant and he is satisfied with the information.

The appellant, Shri Pawan Kumar though is absent today, but he has sent an e-mail to the Commission and submitted that he has received the sought for information and he is satisfied and also requested to close the case.

As the SPIO has resolved the matter involved in the RTI application and provided the sought for information to the appellant and the appellant has also admitted through his e-mail that he has received the sought for information and is satisfied, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 19.10.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Pawan Kumar,
S/o Sh. Shiv Lal, Village Rupana,
Tehsil & Distt. Sri Muktsar Sahib.

--Appellant.

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o BDPO,
Sri Muktsar Sahib.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o DDPO,
Sri Muktsar Sahib.

Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2916 of 2021

Present:- Shri Pawan Kumar, appellant is absent.
Ms. Paramjit Kaur, Dealing hand is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o BDPO,
Sri Muktsar Sahib.

O R D E R

Shri Pawan Kumar, appellant filed RTI application dated 17.03.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Sri Muktsar Sahib. When no information was provided to him by the SPIO then the appellant filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 19.04.2021. Then the appellant filed Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 25.06.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

The appellant is absent today, whereas the respondent is present and she stated that the sought for information has been provided by hand to the appellant and he is satisfied with the information.

The appellant, Shri Pawan Kumar though is absent today, but he has sent an e-mail to the Commission and submitted that he has received the sought for information and he is satisfied and also requested to close the case.

As the SPIO has resolved the matter involved in the RTI application and provided the sought for information to the appellant and the appellant has also admitted through his e-mail that he has received the sought for information and is satisfied, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 19.10.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Sourabh Singla, Advocate,
20 Block C, Panchsheel Enclave,
Zirakpur.

--Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o BDPO, Patran,
Distt. Patiala.

...Respondent.

Complaint Case No. 775 of 2021

Present:- Shri Sourabh Singla, Advocate, complainant/applicant is present.
None present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Patran, Distt. Patiala.

O R D E R

Shri Sourabh Singla, Advocate, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 16.04.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Patran, Distt. Patiala. When no information was provided to the applicant by the SPIO then he filed complaint against the SPIO before the Punjab State Information Commission on 17.06.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

The complainant-applicant is present today, whereas none come present on behalf of the SPIO.

The complainant-applicant stated that he has filed RTI application on 16.04.2021 but till today no information/reply has been sent by the respondent SPIO. He further stated that he in need of the information and requested that the information be provided to him.

Th Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in its Order rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. 10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010) has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information. Since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provisions of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission. An alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal is available to the complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005 which has not been availed in the instant case.

So, keeping in view the above, the present complaint case is disposed of and closed with the direction to the First Appellate Authority-cum-DDPO, Patiala that he should call the applicant in his office on any working day within 30 days and hear him sympathetically and resolve the matter involved in the RTI application and pass a speaking order and compliance report be sent back to the Commission. With this observation the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 19.10.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

(Regd.) CC: Distt. Development & Panchayat Officer, Patiala.

– for compliance of the Order.



Shri Bittu S/o Sh. Om Kumar,
Village Bholapur, PO Sahibana,
Chandigarh Road, Distt.Ludhiana.

--Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o SHO, Police Station,
Divn. No. 1,
Distt. Ludhiana.

...Respondent.

Complaint Case No. 777 of 2021

Present:- Shri Bittu, complainant/applicant is absent.
None present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o SHO, Police Station, Divn. No. 1, Distt. Ludhiana.

O R D E R

Shri Bittu, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 05.04.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o SHO, Police Station, Divn. No.1, Distt. Ludhiana. When no information was provided to the applicant by the SPIO then he filed complaint against the SPIO before the Punjab State Information Commission on 17.06.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

Both the parties are absent today.

I have gone through the RTI application dated 05.04.2021 and the complainant-applicant sought information regarding FIR Nos. 149, 150, 152 and 153 dated 31.12.2020.

The SPIO though is absent today, but he has sent an e-mail to the Commission which is a copy of report dated 13.08.2021 along with the postal receipt dated 06.08.2021 and copy of report dated 05.08.2021. The respondent has also sent attested copies of FIR Nos. 149, 150, 152 and 153 dated 31.12.2020 to the Commission, which was received on 10.08.2021 and diarized vide no. 17620.

I have gone through the report dated 13.08.2021 and it is submitted by the respondent that the attested copies of FIR Nos. 149, 150, 152 and 153 dated 31.12.2020 has been sent to the applicant on 06.08.2021 through registered post. It is further submitted by the respondent that the status report of above said FIRs cannot be provided to the applicant as the same are under investigation stage.

As the SPIO has sent the attested copies of the FIR Nos. 149, 150, 152 and 153 dated 31.12.2020 to the applicant and the status of these FIRs cannot be provided being under investigation, so the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed** with the observation that whenever the investigation will be completed in these FIRs then the attested copies of information be sent to the applicant within 10 days through registered post and compliance be sent to the Commission.

Dated: 19.10.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Bittu S/o Sh. Om Kumar,
Village Bholapur, PO Sahibana,
Chandigarh Road, Distt.Ludhiana.

--Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o BDPO,
Ludhiana-2.

...Respondent.

Complaint Case No. 786 of 2021

Present:- Shri Bittu, complainant/applicant is absent.
None present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Ludhiana-2.

O R D E R

Shri Bittu, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 28.04.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Ludhiana-2. When no information was provided to the applicant by the SPIO then he filed complaint against the SPIO before the Punjab State Information Commission on 17.06.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

Both the parties are absent today.

The complainant-applicant though is absent today, but he has sent an e-mail to the Commission and submitted that the sought for information has not been provided to him by the SPIO.

The SPIO has also sent an e-mail to the Commission, which is a copy of letter no.2885-86 dated 04.08.2021 along with attested copies of information. I have gone through letter no. 2885-86 dated 04.08.2021 vide which the information/reply has been sent to the applicant.

As the SPIO has sent the reply/information to the applicant vide letter no. 2885-86 dated 04.08.2021, so no complaint lies against the SPIO. Hence the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed** with the observation that in case the applicant is not satisfied with the reply/information sent by the SPIO, then he is at liberty to file First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority within 30 days.

Dated: 19.10.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Davinder Sharma (Bitta),
S/o Shri Sham Lal Sharma,
H.No. 874, Street No. 6,
Janakpuri, Ludhiana.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Food Civil Supply and Consumer Affairs,
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Food Civil Supply and Consumer Affairs,
Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3457 of 2020

Present:- Shri Davinder Sharma, appellant is absent.
Shri Sudhanshu, Inspector is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o Food Civil
Supply and Consumer Affairs, Ludhiana.

O R D E R

Shri Davinder Sharma, appellant filed RTI application dated 29.07.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Food Civil Supply and Consumer Affairs, Ludhiana.

Today the case is heard through CISCO WEBEX MEETINGS Software.

The appellant is absent today, whereas the respondent is present on behalf of the SPIO and he stated that the sought for information has been sent to the appellant through registered post vide letter no.56 dated 01.02.2021 and then vide letter no.8468 dated 24.08.2021.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of letter no.56 dated 01.02.2021 along with copy of letter no.8468 dated 24.08.2021. I have gone through the RTI application dated 29.07.2020 and also gone through the information sent by the SPIO. The SPIO has provided information/reply to each and every point of the RTI application.

A **show cause notice** U/s 20(1) of the RTI Act, 2005 was issued to the SPIO, O/o Food Civil Supply and Consumer Affairs, Ludhiana vide Order dated 25.08.2021 and he was directed to file reply to the Show Cause Notice.

The SPIO has sent an e-mail to the Commission, which is a copy of letter no. SPL-II dated 18.10.2021. I have gone through the said letter vide which the SPIO has filed reply to the Show Cause Notice. The SPIO has submitted in the reply that the sought for information has already been sent to the appellant through registered post vide letter no.56 dated 01.02.2021 and then vide letter no.8468 dated 24.08.2021. It is further submitted by the SPIO that due to technical issues the official/employee of the department could not attend the

Appeal Case No. 3457 of 2020

WEBEX Meetings on 26.05.2021 and 19.07.2021. The SPIO tendered unconditional apology and requested to drop the Show Cause Notice.

As per the reply to the Show Cause Notice sent by the SPIO, it is clear that the SPIO is not personally liable for the delay in providing the information, so the Show Cause Notice issued to the SPIO, O/o Food Civil Supply and Consumer Affairs, Ludhiana is hereby dropped and filed.

As the SPIO has sent the sought for information to the appellant through registered post on 01.02.2012 and then on 24.08.2021, so he has resolved the matter involved in the RTI application. Hence the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 19.10.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Gurnam Singh, S/o Shri Surjan Singh,
R/o Village Bakainwala,
Tehsil & Distt, Fazilka.

.....Appellant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer,
Block Khuiyan Sarwar at Abohar,
Tehsil Abohar, Distt. Fazilka.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o District Development and Panchayat Officer,
Fazilka.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3872 of 2020

Present:- Shri Gurnam Singh, appellant is present.
Shri Gian Singh, Panchayat Secretary is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o
BDPO, Block Khuiyan Sarwar at Abohar, Tehsil Abohar, Distt. Fazilka.

O R D E R

Shri Gurnam Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 06.08.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer, Block Khuiyan Sarwar at Abohar, Tehsil Abohar, Distt. Fazilka.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Both the parties are present today.

The respondent stated that the sought for information and the amount of compensation of Rs.4,000/- has been paid to the appellant.

The appellant stated that he has received the information and the amount of compensation of Rs. 4,000/-, but the copies of UC (Usage Certificate) has not been provided to him by the respondent.

The respondent stated that he has not received the UC (Usage Certificate) while taking the charge of Gram Panchayat, Bakain Wala, so he is unable to provide the same to the appellant.

As the SPIO has provided the information to the appellant as per the official record and also paid the amount of compensation of Rs.4,000/- to the appellant, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 19.10.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Nathu Ram Sihag,
S/o Shri Mahavir Singh,
R/o Village Taja Patti,
Tehsil Abohar.

.....Appellant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/ o DPI (SE), Punjab,
Mohali.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o Secretary, Education Deptt. PB.,
Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2249 of 2021

Present:- Shri Nathu Ram Sihag, appellant is absent.
Ms. Veena Verma, SPIO-cum-Suptd, O/o DPI (SE), Punjab, Mohali is present.

ORDER

Shri Nathu Ram Sihag, appellant filed RTI application dated 24.12.2020 before the SPIO-cum-Secretary, Education Deptt. Punjab, Chandigarh. The RTI application was transferred U/s 6(3) of the RTI Act, 2005 to the SPIO, O/o DPI (SE), Punjab, Mohali vide letter dated 08.01.2021.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

The appellant is absent today, whereas the SPIO is present and she stated that the sought for information has been sent to the appellant through registered post vide letter no.4183 dated 06.09.2021 and a copy of the same has also been sent to the Commission.

The Commission has received a mail sent by the SPIO on 09.09.2021, diarized vide no. 20354, which is a copy of letter no. 4183 dated 06.09.2021 along with copy of letter no. 286501 dated 24.08.2021, copy of letter no.298315 dated 03.09.2021 and copy of letter dated 06.08.2021. I have gone through the said letters.

The appellant, Shri Nathu Ram Sihag has also sent an e-mail to the Commission and submitted that he has received the sought for information and he is satisfied with the information.

As the SPIO has sent the sought for information to the appellant and the appellant has also admitted through his e-mail that he has received the information and he is satisfied, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 19.10.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**



Shri Paramjit Singh, S/o ShriKuldip Singh,
47 D, Punjab Avenue,
Urban Estate-1, Jalandhar.

.....Appellant.

Vs

(Regd.)Public Information Officer,
O/ o Commissioner, State Tax
Patiala.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o Director (Training),
Excise & Taxation Department, PB.
Patiala.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2318 of 2021

Present:- Shri Paramjit Singh, appellant is present.
Shri Upinderjit Singh, SDO is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o Commissioner,
State Tax, Patiala.

O R D E R

Shri Paramjit Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 11.02.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Commissioner, State Tax, Patiala.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Both the parties are present today.

The appellant stated that complete information has not been provided to him by the SPIO.

The respondent stated that the information related with point nos.2 and 3 of the RTI application has been sent to the appellant on 16.04.2021. He further stated that the information regarding point no.1 of the RTI application relates with the office of the SPIO-cum-Asstt. Commissioner, State Tax, Jalandhar-1.

The Commission has received a mail sent by the SPIO on 24.09.2021 diarized vide no.21862, which is a copy of letter no.1061 dated 23.09.2021.

I have gone through letter no.1061 dated 23.09.2021 vide which the SPIO-cum-Asstt. Commissioner, State Tax, Head Office, Patiala has written to the SPIO-cum-Asstt. Commissioner, State Tax, Jalandhar-1 to provide the information related with point no.1 of the RTI application to the appellant and to appear before the Commission for today.

The appellant stated that he has received the information sent by the SPIO regarding point nos.2 and 3 of the RTI application. He further stated that he do not want the information related with point no.1 of the RTI application.

As per the submission of the appellant that he has received the information sent by the SPIO regarding point nos.2 and 3 of the RTI application and he do not want the information related with point no.1 of the RTI application, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed.**

Dated: 19.10.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**



Shri Surinder Singh,
S/o Shri Iqbal Singh,
Village Jhugge Kishore Wale,
P.O. Mamdot,
Tehsil & Distt.Ferozepur.

.....Appellant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o BDPO, Mamdot,
Tehsil & Distt.Ferozepur.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o DDPO, Ferozerpur.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 71 of 2021

Present:- Shri Surinder Singh, appellant is present.
None present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Mamdot, Tehsil & Distt.
Ferozepur.

O R D E R

Shri Surinder Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 06.08.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Mamdot, Tehsil & Distt. Ferozepur.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

The appellant is present today, whereas none has come present on behalf of the SPIO.

The appellant stated that in compliance to the Order dated 16.09.2021 he has submitted the list of deficiencies to the notice of the respondent SPIO and the SPIO has provided him the complete information and he is satisfied with the information.

I have gone through the Order dated 16.09.2021 and the appellant was directed to bring the deficiencies to the notice of the respondent in writing once again and the SPIO was further directed that the attested copies of information regarding the deficiencies be sent to the appellant through registered post within 5 days from the receipt of deficiencies and sent compliance to the Commission accordingly.

As per the submission of the appellant that he has received the complete sought for information and he is satisfied with the information, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed.**

Dated: 19.10.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**



Shri Balvir,
S/o Shri Jagar,
Village Bhullan, Tehsil Munak,
Distt. Sangrur.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer,
Anadana at Munak,
Distt.Sangrur.

First Appellate Authority,
O/ Distt. Development and Panchayat Officer,
Sangrur.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2648 of 2021

Present:- Shri Balvir, appellant is present.
Shri Gurjeet Singh, Panchayat Secretary, O/o Block Development and
Panchayat Officer, Anadana at Munak, Distt. Sangrur is present.

O R D E R

Shri Balvir, appellant filed RTI application dated 02.02.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer, Anadana at Munak, Distt. Sangrur.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Both the parties are present today.

The respondent stated that in compliance to the Order dated 29.09.2021, the appellant had visited the office of the SPIO on 04.10.2021 and the attested copies of sought for information was provided by hand to the appellant.

The Commission has received a mail sent by the SPIO on 11.10.2021, diarized vide no.23093, which is a copy of letter no.422 dated 04.10.2021.

I have gone through letter no.422 dated 04.10.2021 vide which the sought for information was provided by hand to the appellant. The appellant has also made a duly signed noting on the said letter after receiving the information.

The appellant stated that he has received the information.

As the respondent has provided the sought for information to the appellant and the appellant has received the information, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed.**

Dated: 19.10.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



ShriBalvir,
S/o ShriJagar,
Village Bhullan, Tehsil Munak,
Distt.Sangrur.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer,
Anadana at Munak,
Distt.Sangrur.

First Appellate Authority,
O/ Distt. Development and Panchayat Officer,
Sangrur.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2654 of 2021

Present:- Shri Balvir, appellant is present.
Shri Gurjeet Singh, Panchayat Secretary, O/o Block Development and
Panchayat Officer, Anadana at Munak, Distt. Sangrur is present.

O R D E R

Shri Balvir, appellant filed RTI application dated 02.02.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer, Anadana at Munak, Distt. Sangrur.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Both the parties are present today.

The respondent stated that in compliance to the Order dated 29.09.2021, the appellant had visited the office of the SPIO on 04.10.2021 and the attested copies of sought for information was provided by hand to the appellant.

The Commission has received a mail sent by the SPIO on 11.10.2021, diarized vide no.23093, which is a copy of letter no.422 dated 04.10.2021.

I have gone through letter no.422 dated 04.10.2021 vide which the sought for information was provided by hand to the appellant. The appellant has also made a duly signed noting on the said letter after receiving the information.

The appellant stated that he has received the information.

As the respondent has provided the sought for information to the appellant and the appellant has received the information, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed.**

Dated: 19.10.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Balvir,
S/o Shri Jagar,
Village Bhullan, Tehsil Munak,
Distt. Sangrur.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer,
Anadana at Munak,
Distt.Sangrur.

First Appellate Authority,
O/ Distt. Development and Panchayat Officer,
Sangrur.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2657 of 2021

Present:- Shri Balvir, appellant is present.
Shri Gurjeet Singh, Panchayat Secretary, O/o Block Development and
Panchayat Officer, Anadana at Munak, Distt. Sangrur is present.

O R D E R

Shri Balvir, appellant filed RTI application dated 06.02.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer, Anadana at Munak, Distt. Sangrur.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Both the parties are present today.

The respondent stated that in compliance to the Order dated 29.09.2021, the appellant had visited the office of the SPIO on 04.10.2021 and the attested copies of sought for information was provided by hand to the appellant.

The Commission has received a mail sent by the SPIO on 11.10.2021, diarized vide no.23093, which is a copy of letter no.422 dated 04.10.2021.

I have gone through letter no.422 dated 04.10.2021 vide which the sought for information was provided by hand to the appellant. The appellant has also made a duly signed noting on the said letter after receiving the information.

The appellant stated that he has received the information.

As the respondent has provided the sought for information to the appellant and the appellant has received the information, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed.**

Dated: 19.10.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Balvir, S/o Shri Jagar,
Village Bhullan, Tehsil Munak,
Distt.Sangrur.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer,
Anadana at Munak, Distt.Sangrur.

First Appellate Authority,
O/ Distt. Development and Panchayat Officer,
Sangrur.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1568 of 2021

Present:- Shri Balvir, appellant is absent.
Shri Gurjit Singh, Panchayat Secretary O/o, SPIO, BDPO, Anadana at Munak,
Distt. Sangrur is present.

O R D E R

Shri Balvir, appellant filed RTI application dated 22.12.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Anadana at Munak, Distt. Sangrur.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Both the parties are present today.

The respondent stated that in compliance to the Order dated 30.09.2021, the appellant had visited the office of the SPIO on 04.10.2021 and the attested copies of sought for information was provided by hand to the appellant.

The Commission has received a mail sent by the SPIO on 11.10.2021, diarized vide no.23093, which is a copy of letter no.422 dated 04.10.2021.

I have gone through letter no.422 dated 04.10.2021 vide which the sought for information was provided by hand to the appellant. The appellant has also made a duly signed noting on the said letter after receiving the information.

The appellant stated that he has received the information.

As the respondent has provided the sought for information to the appellant and the appellant has received the information, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed.**

Dated: 19.10.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.